Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1971 Filed 03/10/14 Page 1 of 7

1 2 3 4 5	GORDON H. DePAOLI Nevada State Bar No. 195 DALE E. FERGUSON Nevada State Bar No. 4986 DOMENICO R. DePAOLI Nevada State Bar No. 11553 Woodburn and Wedge 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500 Reno, Nevada 89511 Telephone: 775/688-3000			
7	Attorneys for Walker River Irrigation District			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9				
10	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) IN EQUITY NO. C-125-RCJ		
12) SUBFILE NO. C-125-B		
13	Plaintiff,) 3:73-CV-00127-RCJ-WGC		
14	WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,)		
15	Plaintiff-Intervenor,)		
	v.) WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION) DISTRICT'S MOTION TO VACATE		
16) SCHEDULE RELATED TO		
17	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al.,) MOTIONS REGARDING BASIC) THRESHOLD JURISDICTIONAL		
18	Defendants.) ISSUES, OR, IN THE) ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR		
19	Defendants,) STATUS CONFERENCE		
20	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)		
21	WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBÉ,			
22	Counterclaimants,)		
23	v.)		
24)		
25	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, et al.,))		
26	Counterdefendants.			
27		,		
28				
20				

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1971 Filed 03/10/14 Page 2 of 7

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b) and L.R. 6.1, the Walker River Irrigation District ("District") moves the Court to vacate the schedule for motions regarding basic threshold jurisdictional issues until such time as an order superseding the existing Order Regarding Service and Filing in Subproceeding C-125-B On and By Unrepresented Parties (Doc. 1874) (the "Unrepresented Party Order") is entered and implemented. In the alternative, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(a) and L.R. 16.1(d), the District moves the Court for a status conference in this matter at the earliest possible date to clarify how motions presently due on March 31, 2014, and responses and replies due thereafter, are to be served on parties who have appeared, but are unrepresented ("Unrepresented Parties"), and served, if at all, on parties who have not appeared ("Non-Appearing Parties").

This Motion is based upon the conflict between the Unrepresented Party Order and directions given by the Court to modify that Order in status conferences held on July 25, 2013 and November 4, 2013.

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

The District requests that the present schedule for motions regarding basic threshold jurisdictional issues be vacated until an Order with respect to service on Unrepresented Parties and Non-Appearing Parties is entered and implemented. In the alternative, the District requests a case management conference to clarify how motions presently due on March 31, 2014, and responses and replies due thereafter, are to be served on Unrepresented Parties and served, if at all, on Non-Appearing Parties. The existing Unrepresented Party Order (Doc. 1874), in relevant part, provides:

Service on Unrepresented Parties:

3. **Electronic Service:** If an Unrepresented Party consents to electronic service as hereinafter provided, that party will receive an e-mail from the court's CM/ECF system each time a document is filed in this matter that includes a link to the public website where the party may view, print and/or save the filing at no cost. . . .

- 4. "Mail-Only" List: In the rare situation where an Unrepresented Party is unable to receive electronic service, and is approved by the Court to be on a "Mail-Only" List, the Court at a later date will determine the nature and scope of what is to be served upon "Mail-Only" parties, and what "Mail-Only" parties must serve on other "Mail-Only" parties.
- 5. The Court, at a later date, will determine the extent to which defendants must serve other defendants, whether represented or unrepresented.
- 6. If any Unrepresented Party fails either to consent to electronic service or be approved for the "Mail-Only" List, that party shall be deemed to have consented to opt out of service, to have agreed to receive subsequent notice of all filings in this matter by taking the responsibility to check the public website by selecting "Walker River" on the Court's website (www.nvd.uscourts.gov) or by accessing the public website directly (ecf.nv.uscourts.gov/casedisplay). All such parties shall be deemed to have received notice of all subsequent Orders and other filings in Subproceeding C-125-B.

After the entry of the Unrepresented Party Order, the Court directed that it be modified. See July 25, 2013 Transcript of Status Conference at p. 74, ln. 13 - p. 91, ln. 15; November 4, 2013 Transcript of Status Conference at p. 33, ln. 2 - p. 51, ln. 15. As a result of the direction provided by the Court, the parties, working with the Magistrate Judge, began to develop the "Superseding Order Regarding Service and Filing in Subproceeding C-125-B On and By Unrepresented Parties." It is contemplated that once a Superseding Order is finalized in Subproceeding C-125-B, it will be adapted as necessary to apply to Subproceeding C-125-C.

A Minute Order after the November 4, 2013 status conference established a schedule for motions to dismiss regarding "basic threshold jurisdictional issues." (Doc. 1958). The schedule provides that motions to dismiss are due on March 31, 2014, responses are due May 30, 2014, and replies are due June 30, 2014. That schedule was established based upon the assumption that the Superseding Order would be completed and in place at or about December 31, 2013. *See* November 4, 2013 Transcript of Status Conference at p. 65, ln. 23 - p. 67, ln. 2.

The parties continued work on the Superseding Order, and submitted a draft for consideration at a December 6, 2013 Status Conference before the Magistrate Judge. In that

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1971 Filed 03/10/14 Page 4 of 7

status conference, revisions were proposed, and another status conference was scheduled for January 17, 2014. (Doc. 1963). A revised draft of the Superseding Order was circulated among the parties on January 10, 2014 for discussion at the January 17, 2014 status conference.

As a result of the Order denying without prejudice the Motion to Admit Government Attorneys David L. Negri and Andrew "Guss" Guarino (Doc. 1968), the Magistrate Judge vacated the January 17, 2014 status conference. (Doc. 1969). At the present time, no further status conferences have been scheduled concerning the Superseding Order.

Paragraphs 4 and 5 of the Unrepresented Party Order leave to another day what is to be served by mail. In general, the direction given by the Court to be included in the Superseding Order was that Unrepresented Parties would receive notice of filings by mailed post card with a reference to the Walker River website. November 4, 2013 Transcript at p. 35, ln. 23 - p. 36, ln. 8. The direction given by the Court was that Non-Appearing Parties would be given another opportunity to appear and elect a method of service. *Id.* at p. 38, ln. 10 - p. 39, ln. 2. As presently drafted, the Superseding Order would be served by mail on all Unrepresented Parties and on all Non-Appearing Parties who would have a period of time thereafter to make a service election. *See* Doc. 1957, Attachment A.

It is important for those parties who must file motions by March 31, 2013, and for those who must respond later, to know how to serve Unrepresented Parties, and also what service, if any, need be made on Non-Appearing Parties. The Superseding Order was intended to clarify these issues. Therefore, the District requests that the Court vacate the schedule related to motions regarding basic threshold jurisdictional issues until such time as a superseding order in compliance with the Court's directions is entered and implemented.

In the alternative, the District requests a status conference to consider and receive instructions from the Court on service of motions regarding basic threshold jurisdictional issues

Case 3 73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1971 Filed 03/10/14 Page 5 of 7

1	on Unrepresented Parties, and service, if any, on Non-Appearing Parties.	
2	Dated: March 10, 2014.	
3		WOODBURN AND WEDGE
4		
5		D /-/
6		By: /s/ Gordon H. DePaoli,
7		Dale E. Ferguson, Domenico R. DePaoli 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500
8		Reno, Nevada 89511 Attorneys for Walker River Irrigation District
9		Thomeyor for Waller Taver Imagineric Station
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	·	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case 3 73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1971 Filed 03/10/14 Page 6 of 7

1		CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
2	I certify that I am an employee of Woodburn and Wedge and that on the 10th day of		
3	March, 2014, I electronically served the foregoing Walker River Irrigation District's Motion to		
5	Vacate Schedule Related to Motions Regarding Basic Threshold Jurisdictional Issues, or, In		
6	the Alternative, Motion for Status Conference with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF		
7	system, which will send notification of such filing to the following via their email addresses:		
8	Andrew Guss Guarino Brian Chally	guss.guarino@usdoj.gov brian.chally@lvvwd.com	
9	Bryan L. Stockton	bstockton@ag.nv.gov	
ļ	Charles S. Zumpft	zumpft@brooke-shaw.com	
10	Cherie K. Emm-Smith	emmsmithlaw@cccomm.net	
	Don Springmeyer	dspringmeyer@wrslawyers.com	
11	Chrristopher Mixson	cmixson@wrslawyers.com	
10	G. David Robertson	gdavid@nvlawyers.com	
12	George Benesch	gbenesch@sbcglobal.net	
13	Greg Addington	greg.addington@usdoj.gov	
13	Harry W. Swainston	hwswainston@earthlink.net	
14	J.D. Sullivan	jd@mindenlaw.com	
		. 0	
15	James Spoo	spootoo@aol.com	
	John Paul Schlegelmilch	jpslaw@netscape.com	
16	Julian C. Smith, Jr.	joylyn@smithandharmer.com	
17	Karen Peterson	kpeterson@allisonmackenzie.com	
17	Kirk C. Johnson	kirk@nvlawyers.com	
18	Laura Schroeder	counsel@water-law.com	
	Marta Adams	madams@agnv.gov	
19	Marvin W. Murphy	marvinmurphy@sbcglobal.net	
	Michael D. Hoy	mhoy@nevadalaw.com	
20 Michael F. Mackedon falonlaw@phonewave.net		<u> </u>	
21	Michael R. Montero	mrm@eloreno.com	
21	Michael A. Pagni	mpagni@mcdonaldcarano.com	
22	Richard W. Harris	rharris@gbis.com	
22	Ross E. de Lipkau	ecf@parsonsbehle.com	
23	Sylvia Harrison	sharrison@mcdonaldcarano.com	
	T. Scott Brooke	brooke@brooke-shaw.com	
24	Michael W. Neville	michael.neville@doj.ca.gov	
	Stacey Simon	ssimon@mono.ca.gov	
25	William E. Schaeffer	lander_lawyer@yahoo.com	
	Susan Schneider	susan.schneider@usdoj.gov	
26	Paul J. Anderson	panderson@mclrenolaw.com	
27	Debbie Leonard	dleonard@mcdonaldcarano.com	
۷ ا	Wes Williams	wwilliams@standfordaluni.org	
1	117'11' T TS CC	111 1 66 (2.1.1.	

william.duffy@dgslaw.com GKaufmann@mindenlaw.com

William J. Duffy Gene M. Kaufmann

Case 3 73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 1971 Filed 03/10/14 Page 7 of 7

1	Erin K.L. Mahaney David L. Negri	emahaney@waterboards.ca.gov david.negri@usdoj.gov
2	Simeon Herskovits John W. Howard	simeon@communityandenvironment.net johnh@jwhowardattorneys.com
3	Malissa Hathaway McKeith	mckeith@lbbslaw.com
4	Andrew D. Galvin Lynn L. Steyaert	drew.galvin@americantower.com lls@water-law.com
5	Noelle R. Gentilli Donald B. Mooney	ngentill@water.ca.gov dbmooney@dcn.org
6	Stuart David Hotchkiss	david.hotchkiss@ladwp.com
7		
8		/s/
9		Tommie Kay Atkinson
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		